

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,054		04/20/2000	FRANK MAN-WOON NG	017227/0156	4140
22428	7590	04/11/2003			
FOLEY AN	D LAR	DNER	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STRI	EET NW	<i>I</i>	SAOUD, CHRISTINE J		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	10
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/508,054

Applicant(s)

NG et al.

Examiner

Christine Saoud

Art Unit 1647



•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE - Extens		TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication. le application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 3, 20	03
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ition of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1, 7-18, 34, 36, and 39-44</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>12, 14, 17, 18, 34, and 4</u>	o is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 13, 16, 41, and 43	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 7-11, 15, 36, and 39	
7) 💢	Claim(s) 42 and 44	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	•
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) ∐ a) [Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
15)	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •
Attachm	· ·	priority and 00 010101 33 120 and/01 1211
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 In:	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 37-38 have been canceled, claims 1 and 15 have been amended, and claims 41-44 have been added as requested in the amendment of paper #18, filed 03 February 2003. Claims 7-18, 34, 36, 39-44 are pending in the instant application.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Any objection or rejection of record which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 03 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Election/Restriction

5. Claims 17-18, 34 and 40 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12.

Applicant's election of the peptide of SEQ ID NO:19 is noted in paper #14. Applicant urges that claims 8-10 and 15 correspond to the elected invention. Applicant's arguments are

Art Unit: 1647

persuasive for claims 8-10 and 15 in so far as the claims encompass the elected peptide of SEQ ID NO:19. Claims 12 and 14 do not encompass the elected peptide. Therefore, these claims are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper #14. Claims 1, 7-11, 13, 15, 16, 36-37 and 39 are under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1, 7-11, 15, 36, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wade et al. (Acta Endocrinologica 101: 10-14, 1982) for the reasons of record in paper #15...

Applicant argues that the Examiner has not provided evidence that an amide bond would be inherent in the peptide of Wade et al. This argument is persuasive with respect to an "amide bond". However, the Examiner misstated the inherent property, which would be the salt bridge that would be naturally formed between the oppositely charged side chains of the arginine and glutamic acid residues, given the close proximity of these amino acids in the peptide.

It is noted that the instant specification at page 9, lines 5-9 indicates the presence of a salt bridge when the amino acids at positions 183 and 186 are Arg and Glu. Since the elected peptide is identical in amino acid structure to the peptide of the prior art in this region (differs at position 176), the presence of the salt bridge between Arg and Glu would be expected by one of ordinary skill in the art and therefore, considered an inherent property.

Applicant further argues that Wade et al. "only disclose synthetic copies of the naturally occurring form of the carboxy terminus, not analogues of the carboxy terminus". This argument

Art Unit: 1647

is not persuasive because the peptide itself is considered the "analogue of the carboxyl-terminal sequence of a growth hormone". Therefore, the limitation (iii) of claim 1 would need to be inherent since the amino acid structure is the same and the specification fails to indicate any particular specifics in the method of preparation which would lead to altered inter amino acid bonds compared to the prior art.

7. Applicant's arguments regarding the unexpected results with regard to the species of SEQ ID NO:19 are persuasive.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 42 and 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 13, 16, 41 and 43 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 09/508,054

Art Unit: 1647

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8AM to 3PM. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.6(d) and 1.8). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9306. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Official papers filed After Final rejection filed by fax should be directed to (703) 872-9307.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD PRIMARY EXAMINER

(husting). Saoud